

August 15th 2020 Public Meeting

Agenda

Items

1. Weed Disposal
2. Summer Village Storm Water
3. Village Garbage
4. Park Maintenance and Park Plan Capital Plan
5. Bylaw Enforcement
6. Community Standards Bylaw
7. Communication Level

Weed Disposal

Discussion from Public:

1. The weeds are on several private properties
2. They are weeds that wash in from the lake.
3. We thought the public works building, at the beach access or even the park (by the road rather than by the water, so that people in the park do not have to smell them) would be good locations.
4. A weed drop off day would not work unless it was weekly on the weekend. We have been filling all of our neighbors bins and taking many loads to the dump. It is unpredictable as to when the storms will cause the weeds to come in.

Background

After the Ice quake the Village had provided weed collection services at some of our green spaces. Ratepayers have expressed that this service is a something that they felt would be a great benefit for both noxious weeds and lake weeds.

Currently this is not a service the village provides.

To provide a weed removal service where a bin was regularly cleared up at the Office. The Village estimates this would cost approximately \$900.00 to have the weeds removed three times a year

Summer Village Storm Water

Discussion from Public:

Village Residents have requested to have a discussion on Residents responsibilities for maintaining and repairing culverts and overall stormwater.

Background

The Village just completed a comprehensive review of all stormwater facilities. Currently one stormwater project is underway and another is being considered based on the possibility of new funding.

The Village reviewed all culverts in the village and graded them based on how well they are. As a result, the Village now has a long-term Capital improvement plan to mitigate storm water issues for the village over the long term.

Currently the Village is considering implementing a policy that would require new developments or expansions of developments to replace their culverts on an as needed basis if the culvert is below a certain standard. While that policy is not finalized it is currently open for discussion.

The reason for requiring the public to contribute to the infrastructure was the thought that private development shall not be subsidized by overall municipal tax.

Currently the triggers being considered to require the upgrades if needed are new residences, garages, additions etc. Alternatively we were considering putting a minimum sqft requirement that may trigger development.

The Storm water plan and infrastructure review can be found on the village website.

Samples of some of the infrastructure analysis are attached for reference.

PROJECT NAME:	2020 S.V. of Sunset Point Storm Water Management Plan & Rehabilitation Plan
----------------------	---

Location:	City/County/MD	Road Name/No.	Station Number	Alignment	Culvert No./Name
	S.V. of Sunset Point	48A Avenue	Lot 56 Approach	S. Side	2687 - D06

Pipe Details:	Shape (Select One)	
	Arch	
	Circular	✓
	Elliptical	
	Box	

Material (Select One)	
Aluminum	
Concrete	
Plastic	
Steel	✓
Thickness	1.6mm

Pipe Size		
Span		mm
Rise		mm
Diameter	600	mm
Slope	0.5	%
Length	10.09	m

Overall Rating
9

Roadway Over Pipe	Response
Pavement cracks or Patches	No
Sag in Roadway	No
Recent signs of high water	No
Amount of Cover (m)	0.3

Inlet / Outlet Protection	Rating
Channel scour at Inlet/Outlet	No
Embankment Erosion	No
Sideslopes too Steep	No
Drift - wood, debris around pipe	No
Vegetation - trees, brush etc.	Yes
Silt	No
Rip Rap	Needs More



Pipe Barrel	Rating
Blockage	No
Submerged in Water	No
Inlet Damage	No
Outlet damage	No
Corrosion / Abrasion	No
Out of Round	No
Settlement	No
Sag / Bow	No
Infiltration	No
Piping	No
Cracking	No

Capacity	Rating
Flow Path Type	Major
Function of size, slope and condition	<5 Year

Comments
 Very good condition except for bent East end.
 East end bent on top. Straight faced ends.
 Some rip rap. 0.3 cover

 Flow will be increased here due to changes upstream.



Inspected By: D. Paulichuk, P. Eng.
 Name

**Culvert Improvement
Cost Estimate**Date: February 15, 2020
Culvert: 2687 - D06

Item	Spec. No.	Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Price	Cost
1		Mobilization - 10%	lump sum		\$	750.00
2		Channel Excavation	m3	1	\$ 150.00	\$ 150.00
3		Supply & Install 600mm C.S.P. Culvert	m	12	\$ 350.00	\$ 4,200.00
4		Supply & Install 600mm C.S.P. Culvert Sloped Ends	m	3	\$ 350.00	\$ 1,050.00
5		Supply & Install Rip Rap	unit	4	\$ 150.00	\$ 600.00
6		Light Grading	lump sum	1	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00
Sub-Total						\$ 8,250.00
10% Contingencies:						\$ 825.00
8% Admin & Engineering:						\$ 660.00
TOTAL:						\$ 9,800.00

Culvert Inspection Report

SE DESIGN AND CONSULTING INC.

713 LAKESHORE DRIVE
COLD LAKE, ALBERTA
T9M 0C4

Phone: 780-594-5380
Fax: 780-594-4486
Web: www.sedesign.ca

Date:

October 17, 2019

PROJECT NAME: 2020 S.V. of Sunset Point Storm Water Management Plan & Rehabilitation Plan

Location:	City/County/MD	Road Name/No.	Station Number	Alignment	Culvert No./Name
	S.V. of Sunset Point	48A Avenue	Lot 20 & 19 Approach	N. Side	2688 - D17

Pipe Details:	Shape (Select One)	
	Arch	
	Circular	✓
	Elliptical	
Box		

Material (Select One)	
Aluminum	
Concrete	
Plastic	
Steel	✓
Thickness	1.6mm

Pipe Size		
Span		mm
Rise		mm
Diameter	300	mm
Slope	2.2	%
Length	10.01	m

Overall Rating
4

Roadway Over Pipe	Response
Pavement cracks or Patches	No
Sag in Roadway	No
Recent signs of high water	No
Amount of Cover (m)	0.5 - 1.0

Inlet / Outlet Protection	Rating
Channel scour at Inlet/Outlet	No
Embankment Erosion	No
Sideslopes too Steep	No
Drift - wood, debris around pipe	No
Vegetation - trees, brush etc.	Yes
Silt	No
Rip Rap	Needs More



Pipe Barrel	Rating
Blockage	No
Submerged in Water	No
Inlet Damage	No
Outlet damage	No
Corrosion / Abrasion	No
Out of Round	No
Settlement	No
Sag / Bow	No
Infiltration	No
Piping	No
Cracking	No



Capacity	Rating
Flow Path Type	Minor
Function of size, slope and condition	100 yrs

Comments
 Lot 19 vacant. Dual approach. Ends bent.
 Lots of grass. No rip rap.
 0.5 - 1.0 cover



Inspected By: D. Paulichuk, P. Eng.
 Name

Culvert Improvement Cost Estimate

SE DESIGN AND CONSULTING INC.

713 LAKESHORE DRIVE
COLD LAKE, ALBERTA
T9M 0C4

Phone: 780-594-5380
Fax: 780-594-4486
Web: www.sedesign.ca

Date: February 15, 2020
Culvert: 2688 - D17

Item	Spec. No.	Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Price	Cost
1		Mobilization - 10%	lump sum		\$	455.00
2		Channel Excavation	m3	1	\$ 150.00	\$ 150.00
3		Supply & Install 500mm C.S.P. Culvert	m	12	\$ 300.00	\$ 3,600.00
4		Supply & Install Rip Rap	unit	2	\$ 150.00	\$ 300.00
5		Light Grading	lump sum	1	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00
Sub-Total						\$ 5,005.00
10% Contingencies:						\$ 500.50
8% Admin & Engineering:						\$ 400.40
TOTAL:						\$ 6,000.00

Village Garbage

Discussion from Public:

I feel that garbage should be picked up weekly in the summer time. The garbage is very smelly and attacks undesired wildlife.

The pick up of green bins weekly is unnecessary. This green bins are mainly used spring and fall unless you live on the waterfront and have weeds. I also think that a cardboard and glass bin like Alberta beach has would be much better than the blue bag pick up. The blue bag recycle truck and the green bin truck probably pick up from less than one out of ten houses.

I would much rather take my cardboard to the bin when I need to.

Background

- Waste Collection occurs biweekly.
- Blue Bag collection occurs biweekly.
- Organics are collected weekly between May and October

Alberta Beach

- Garbage pickup weekly
- Organic pickup weekly

Val Quentin

- Garbage Service Weekly
- Organic Pick up May until November

Summer Villages of Crystal Springs, Grandview, Norris Beach, Poplar Bay, Pigeon Lake

- Biweekly Garbage,
- Weekly Garbage in Summer
- No other service

Options/ Questions

Do you want organics?

Do you want recycling?

Additional Bins \$20 a month surcharge per bin

Additionally weekly garbage comes with a cost of \$1900 a week.

When do you want increased service:

- All year
- The Summer

Park Maintenance and Park Plan

Discussion from Public:

Comment 1: Klinkhammar Not having proper maintenance is an ongoing problem. Depending on rate payers to maintain public areas is not good governance. This park is seeing more use than ever this year. The grass gets mowed on a weekend. Nothing else gets done. The piles of reeds, etc. raked by ratepayers sit there on the lakefront & in the parking lot for weeks before they finally get hauled away. The ditch fills up with water & yuck & doesn't drain properly unless a ratepayer spends hours cleaning & cutting a drainage trough every time the wind & waves fill it in again. Where is the pride in our one real public park? We need more than a contractor that cuts grass.

Comment 2:

Two years ago The North Reserve, otherwise known as Friendship Park was designated a priority. There was also money allocated this year. So far nothing had been done. Two summers have gone by and nothing has changed.

Background

In 2019 the Village completed a Park policy that has prioritized water access with increased maintenance.

In 2020 the Village has approved developing stairs within the North Reserve to increase accessibility.

Weeds have been cleaned up at all beach accesses. Other than the year of the ice quake this hadn't happened regularly

Currently an erosion study is being completed to mitigate any shoreline losses with the priority park spaces.

Currently the Village has a grant for approximately 90,000.00.

\$8,000.00 has been budgeted for exclusively for north reserve. With much of that being allocated to making the North Reserve more accessible with stairs.

In addition to the improvement to the park spaces there is a plan to replace existing bollards which are rotting and posing a liability issue to the village. While removing them entirely is a possibility they prevent motorized vehicles from accessing our park spaces.

The Village is currently open to improvements with its parks including additional seating, potentially playground equipment.

While playground equipment is an option the Village has some reservations regarding it. Not only is the playground equipment very expensive the concern is the ongoing requirements of recreational equipment. There is extensive liability insurance and the requirements to hire a licensed inspector annual to ensure the equipment is maintained. It's the ongoing maintenance concerns that are the biggest reluctance from the Municipality

There is the possibility that additional funding of \$25,000.00 via grants is available for our parks spaces or other Capital improvements for the Village. The village wants to know how you think we should improve our green spaces

Questions from the Village

Would you be agreeable to having the accesses to various green spaces locked to prevent vehicular traffic in them if there was a lock box on the honor system at the village where residents could go get the key to get access for launching their boats?

How should we improve our green spaces.?

What level fo increased service should our park spaces have?

Do you feel the village needs a municipal seasonal dock?

What are your thoughts on playground equipment?

What are your thoughts on expanding the sand at beach accesses?

Bylaw Enforcement

Discussion from Public:

Concern that our Bylaw enforcement is inadequate. Ratepayer expressed need for night enforcements to address noise and fireworks complaints and increased RCMP enforcement.

Background

Currently the village contract enforcement services with the Village of Alberta Beach. The Village is contracted to provide services during the week up to 6pm.

In 2019 Council was unsatisfied with some of the existing level of services relating to enforcement. As a result the Village tendered out the contract to see if any other providers would be willing to provide Enforcement Services for the Village. No other contractor provided a proposal and as a result the Village re-contracted with Alberta Beach for a part time enforcement officer to the tune of approximately \$25,000.00 a year.

Currently enforcement does not work past 6pm

Prior to 2020 the Village contracted RCMP to provide an enhanced level of service in the trivillage area. However in 2020 as a result of changes at the provincial level the RCMP have stopped providing enhanced services. The Village is now required to annually pay the Provincial government for RCMP services which will ultimately be for a reduced level of service.

The \$4900 increase in service resulting from Provincial downloading resulted in a tax increase of between \$4.00-\$45.00 per property.

Question to the Public

What types of enforcements are your priority?

What problems are you having in relation to crimes.

Would you be ok with an approximate \$500 dollar tax increase to have a full time enforcement officer?

Community Standards Bylaw

Discussion from the Public

The Village should be required to comply with the Community Standards Bylaw

Requiring people to mow their boulevards is unreasonable.

The proposed Standards do not reflect how I want the Village to grow

Background

In 2019 the Village began reviewing its Community Standards. Bylaw 140 was drafted in 1985 and it was determined by Council that the Standards of the Community had most likely changes in 35 years.

As a result Administration was directed to redraft the Standards. The New Bylaw also aimed to reduced red tape and amalgamated the Villages Noise Bylaw, Fireworks Bylaw to name a few.

As typical with Municipal Government the Village began reviewing the Bylaw drafted by Administration in hopes of developing a draft bylaw that could than be brought forward to the public for discussion and engagement.

As a result of opposition from enhancing the standards the Village has paused on this process.

In the 2020 newsletter it was indicated that it is the land owners responsibility to mow the boulevards. This has been a requirement since 1985. At this time the Village has no plans to stop mowing the boulevards. This was placed in the newsletter with the hopes that if the public was not aware of this requirement, they may start maintain our boulevards. This could than allow us to redirect our public works to work on other projects and increase efficiency.

It is common practice across Canada for municipalities to require landowners to maintain the boulevards in front of their homes or properties.

If a new bylaw is drafted it will be worked on at Council and then brought to the public for discussions.

Questions

- Recognizing that our bylaw is over 35 years old and needs to be improved what type of standards do you see required for the building?
- Is there anything that should be absolutely prohibited on private property?

Communication Level

Discussion from the Public

The Village needs to be more transparent in its operations and provide whole agenda packages.

Annual Meetings and a newsletter are a good start but insufficient. More public involvement is required

Background

The Village currently provides Agenda Cover Pages and Minutes to the Public.

In 2018 the Village began posting the entire village tax roll to the Public.

The Village website is being modernized to make it easier for the posting of information.

The Village has established a Summer Village facebook account and is currently looking at rolling it out formally in the next year.

In 2020 Council determined that more engagement with the public was needed and moved that every year there would be an annual meeting with the ratepayers to discuss pressing issues and help focus service levels.

Currently the Village procedure bylaw dictates that only the Agenda cover page is to be released prior to the meeting. The reason for this is that an agenda can change right up to the start of the meeting and as a result the public may not get the right agenda package.

Recognizing that most Summer Villages can not provide the same level of communication services as a municipality with more than one staff. In a comparison to other summer villages Sunset Point provides a similar level service.

Questions

What level of service are you looking for?

How can the village communicate better with the ratepayers.